

Jmaarch

From: FixCPS@yahoogroups.com on behalf of Greg Hanson [gregor47@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2010 1:10 PM
To: FixCPS@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [FixCPS] Ohio media story about SCREENING

http://blog.cleveland.com/metro/2010/06/cuyahoga_county_is_not_investi.html

Comment I posted to an Ohio web media story about SCREENING.

"Cuyahoga County is not investigating thousands of reports of child abuse and neglect"

Posted by gregor47
June 16, 2010, 4:02PM

The ""Child Protection"" INDUSTRY is an out of control monster bureaucracy. Throughout the USA, caseworkers routinely LIE outright in order to "have their way" and make cases, remove large numbers of kids from their homes when they had no real reason. They commit PERJURY and "prosecutors descretion" is used to avoid charging these caseworkers for PERJURY.

EVERY state has to screen out some level of child abuse reports to the hotline. They DO get lots of reports that do not conform to an legal definition of child abuse. Some people think child abuse is anything they don't like.

It seems like a LOT of child abuse reports come in from people who are CHILDLess, Senior Citizens or misfits like Social Workers or of course, somebody else seeking to rig child custody arrangements to their advantage.

That sometimes includes teens trying to "play" the system. The manipulative 14 year old girl who wants to get rid of a stepdad, to reunite Mom with Dad or to have Mom all to herself is a classic, a staple of the ""Child Protection"" INDUSTRY.

Less than 1% of all child removals are based on things that the general public thinks are actual CHILD ABUSE. (Blood, broken bones, sexual abuse.)

About 10% of child removals are for drug use like Meth.

Most states CPS agencies have actually FAVORED "Meth Head" cases, because the states get massive FUNDING to run programs to repair meth head parents. If you were accused of less it might easily take LONGER to get your kids back, if at all.

About 80% of the cases where kids were removed from their homes were as a result of caseworker perjury which does not get prosecuted.

Removal of children from their parental home is an issue of the gravest concern, treading on the most basic LIBERTY INTERESTS central to the Bill of Rights portion of the US Constitution.

The reason we have HIGH STANDARDS for court when somebody is facing incarceration is because of LIBERTY INTERESTS, yet we have Family Courts which operate partially under ADMINISTRATIVE LAW, which basically rubber stamp what the CPS caseworkers want and essentially STEAM ROLLER parents and families.

Children and Families are ROUTINELY torn up by this socialist steam roller which would horrify the framers of the Constitution.

The "Social Need" and expediency DO NOT make the Family Court (Kangaroo Courts) magically OK to trample on the LIBERTY INTERESTS of families.

Most of the calls to child abuse hotlines are from whiney misfits pushing their own agendas or playing games with the system.

Some are just badly informed about what real child abuse is.

Spanking, for example, is legal in all 50 states even though a percentage of people surveyed would tell you that it is illegal.

High courts have directly told CPS agencies that they are NOT the "spanking police".

If they want to "get" a parent for spanking they simply accuse them of something else like "messy house" or something.

If you're a parent and a CPS caseworker comes to your door they will tell you they want to come in "just to talk". They won't tell you that if you let them in, you have forfeit your 4th amendment right against unreasonable search and seizure. They won't tell you that they will not take pictures of your home, but they will LIE and EXAGGERATE what they see.

In most cases they already HAVE your kids. They only need an excuse to remove them.

They have absolutely NO STANDARDS for judging household clutter. That alone makes their search of your home an "unreasonable search" (see 4th Amendment to US Const.) You CANNOT meet standards that do not exist.

Most caseworkers are NOT licensed SW's and most contract SW's are also not licensed SW's. Indeed, 90+ % of people running around calling themselves "Social Workers" are NOT LICENSED.

Any schmuck can call themself a Social Worker, especially if they work under some AGENCY.

Lutheran Social Services was the largest employer of Social Workers in the world.

Only a small percentage of those people (mostly MS supervisors) were even licensed Social Workers.

So when it comes to household clutter, there are no standards, and the person judging the home is NOT QUALIFIED. No wonder they don't take pictures.

But the Administrative "Family Court" will take their word anyway.

The ""Child Protection"" INDUSTRY is worse than a Witch Hunt, in that they are driven by FUNDING from taxpayer dollars.

But wait! When they grab SENIORS they don't have to depend only on federal funding, they can GRAB ESTATES!

Yup, they found a more lucrative kind of target. They grab grandma, put her in a cheap nursing

home, and grab the ESTATE!

They don't even have to prove that she's lost her faculties, abilities to manage her affairs, they don't have to prove that she would be better off in a nursing home.

What the caseworker WANTS, the Judge rubber stamps!

She'll get a Guardian Ad Litem to argue for her BEST INTERESTS rather than present HER ARGUMENTS.

The son can't hire her an attorney to fight it!

To the voters: You let this Socialism take over and second-guess parents to death, so now how do you like the LATEST WAVE of SOCIALISM you allowed?

The same Witch Hunt has turned on Granny!

And one person said that this woman is a Nazi because she SCREENS OUT some calls? How ironic! If anything she works for a National Socialistic monster agency/INDUSTRY and got forced to REIGN IN or CONTROL the socialistic steam roller a bit.

The book "The Courage To Heal" is like a BIBLE to these socialist worker types: Summarized:
If you say you're abused, you are.
If you think you were abused, you probably were.
If you have no idea you were abused, you still were.
If you believe you were not abused, you absolutely were.

Caseworkers have gone into court and said that the only reason a Father might hold his little girl on his lap is because of the proximity to his penis.

With such brilliant thinking as this, how did you think giving these ""social workers"" such power was going to end well??

[Reply to sender](#) | [Reply to group](#) | [Reply via web post](#) | [Start a New Topic](#)
[Messages in this topic](#) (1)

RECENT ACTIVITY:


• [New Members](#) 2

MARKETPLACE

[Stay on top of your group activity without leaving the page you're on - Get the Yahoo! Toolbar now.](#)

[Get great advice about dogs and cats. Visit the Dog & Cat Answers Center.](#)

[Hobbies & Activities Zone: Find others who share your passions! Explore new interests.](#)



Switch to: [Text-Only](#) • [Daily Digest](#) • [Unsubscribe](#) • [Terms of Use](#)